UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,862	05/26/2006	Naoufel Chraiet	13798.002.00	7074	
	7590 08/20/200 DNG & ALDRIDGE L	EXAMINER			
1900 K STREE	,	ELFERVIG, TAYLOR A			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4127		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary  Examiner  TAYLOR ELFERVIG  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			Annlic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner	Office Action Summary								
TAYLOR ELFERVIS  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION If NO period for reply is appointed above, the maximum statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation and statulation provided will apply and will expire SK (8) JAKSN 118 from the maximum statulation and sta						T			
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALINING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of the may be a enable under the provision of 3C FRT. 136(b), thin owent, bowers, may reply be timely like and the state of the provision of 3C FRT. 136(b), thin owent, bowers, may reply be timely like and the provision of 3C FRT. 136(b), thin owent, bowers, may reply be timely like and the provision of 3C FRT. 136(b). The owent the provision of 3C FRT. 136(b) is not own the provision of 3C FRT. 136(b). The provision of this communication.  Faults to reply which has not or entined period for may like by stanting, cause of paint from the maining date of this communication, even if annuly find, may reduce any states are reply which the best or entined period for may be 1741. 766(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 20) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5b □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7b □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7d □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7d □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7d □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9b □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10b □ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  10b □ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  10c □ The drawing(s) file		•							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and six (s) MICHINES from the mailing date of this communication if the property of the property of the property of the communication of the property of the		The MAIL INC DATE of this communi							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Enhances to the many be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 113(b). In the overth, bowerer, may a right by the limbyl field after SK (8) MCNTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Failten to reprove the many because of the communication of th			cation appears on	tne cover sneet wit	in the correspondence ac	iaress			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2006.  2a	WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply veryly received by the Office later than three months af	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC be event, however, may a read will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this of the control	·			
2a)  This action is FINAL.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-13   s/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status								
2a)  This action is FINAL.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-13   s/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Despensive to communication(s) file	d an 26 May 2006	•					
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	<u> </u>		/ <del>_</del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Al)	3)∐	• •		•	• •	e merits is			
4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Trawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application		closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  30 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26	4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26	•								
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Proferences Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Proferences Cited (PTO-892) 31 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application									
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Portstsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	·	• • •							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application		· · · — · ·	tion and/or electio	n requirement					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	٥/١	are subject to restrict	non ana, or electio	irroquiroment.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006	is/are: a)⊠ acce	pted or b) 🔲 object	ted to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is red	uired if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
a)	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application				🗖					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
5) Za information Programs Statement (6) (1 Toropies)			10- <del>34</del> 0)						
				6)	<u>_</u> ·				

Art Unit: 4127

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 9 and 11-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0203909 A1 to *Koster* to ("Koster").

As to claim 1, *Koster* teaches a method for managing information between communicating objects (200, 235), said information originating from information provider communicating objects (235, Information Service Provider) and considered by communicating objects (200, Mobile Terminal with Display) able to deliver information, said method comprising the steps (Fig. 2A, ¶0050-¶0053):

acquiring (Fig. 1, 10, Ant) and storing data (Fig. 1, 18, Memory) comprising an item of information to be disseminated by an information provider communicating object (Fig. 2A, 235, Information Service Provider) and comprising a parameter (Fig. 10A, 1000) identifying a location associated with

Art Unit: 4127

said item of information (Fig. 7A), the location belonging to a set of locations identified in a unique manner in a system of reference (Fig. 10A) (¶0043, ¶0106); and

delivering at least one stored item of information associated with said location (510) by way of at least one communicating object able to deliver information and situated at said location (500) (Fig. 5A).

As to claim 3, Koster teaches a stored item of information associated with a location (510) is delivered by way of a communicating object (500) able to deliver information and providing the parameter indicating the identification of said location (510) (Fig. 5A, ¶0069).

As to claim 4, Koster teaches determining a location in which a communicating object (Fig. 2A, 200) able to deliver information is situated (Fig. 4A, 405) (¶0049, ¶0062), and

delivering stored information (510) associated with said location by way of said communicating object (500) (Fig. 5A, ¶0070).

As to claim 5, Koster teaches determining a location (205, GPS Satellite) in which an object providing information is situated (Fig. 2A), and

acquiring (Fig. 1, 10, Ant) and storing (Fig. 1, 18, Memory) at least one datum comprising an item of information provided by way of said object providing

Art Unit: 4127

information (Fig. 2A, 205, GPS Satellite) and a parameter indicating the identification of said location (¶0043).

As to claim 6, Koster teaches carrying out a step of identification of a user of a communicating object able to deliver information (¶0069), and

affording access of the user to stored information associated with a location by way of said communicating object as a function of at least the results of this step of identification (¶0069).

As to claim 8, *Koster* teaches information provider communicating object (235, Information Service Provider) associated with a location comprises an information acquisition device (235) fixed to said location (Fig. 2A). Here, the Information Service Provider is receiving Data Inputs.

As to claim 9, Koster teaches carrying out at least one step of identification of a user (Fig. 7B, 712) of an information provider communicating object (Fig. 7B, 720) (¶0090, ¶0091), and

acquiring (Fig. 7C, 720) and storing (Fig. 7C, 724, Database) at least one datum comprising an item of information provided by the user (Fig. 7C, 726, Service Profile for Subscriber) by way of said object as a function of at least the results of this step of identification (Fig. 7B, Fig. 7C, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, a user of a mobile terminal sends user information to a MSC (Mobile Switching System) which in turn sends it an APS (Adjunct Processing System).

Application/Control Number: 10/580,862

Art Unit: 4127

As to claim 11, *Koster* teaches triggering, when a communicating object is located for the first time in a location, an operation destined for said communicating object (Fig. 5A, 500), prompting it to provide an item of information when the communicating object is an information provider (Fig. 8C, 892), and to have access to stored information associated with said location when the communicating object is able to deliver information (Fig. 5A, Fig. 10A) (¶0069, ¶0070, ¶0097). Examiner has interpreted the meaning of "triggering, when a communicating object is location for the first time in a location" to mean when a device enters a particular area then an initialization is performed. *Koster* teaches the use of GPS. *Koster* embodiments would perform/act the same or similar whether it was in a location for the first time or not.

Page 5

As to claim 12, Koster teaches a system for managing information between communicating objects by way of a location (200, 235), said information originating from information provider communicating objects (235, Information Service Provider) and considered by communicating objects able to deliver information (200, Mobile Terminal with Display) (Fig. 2A, ¶0050-¶0053), said system comprising:

means for acquiring (Fig. 1, 10, Ant) and storing data (Fig. 1, 18, Memory) comprising an item of information to be disseminated provided by an information provider communicating object (Fig. 2A, 235, Information Service Provider) and comprising a parameter (Fig. 10A, 1000) identifying a location associated with said item of information (Fig. 7A), the location belonging to a set of locations

Art Unit: 4127

identified in a unique manner in a system of reference (Fig. 10A) (¶0043, ¶0106); and

means for delivering at least one stored item of information associated with said location (510) by way of at least one communicating object able to deliver information and situated at said location (500) (Fig. 5A).

**As to claim 13,** *Koster* teaches a platform for managing information comprising at least two information management systems (200, 235), each information management system comprising:

means for acquiring (Fig. 1, 10, Ant) and storing data (Fig. 1, 18, Memory) comprising an item of information to be disseminated provided by an information provider communicating object (Fig. 2A, 235, Information Service Provider) and comprising a parameter (Fig. 10A, 1000) indicating an identification of a location associated with said item of information (Fig. 7A), the location belonging to a set of locations identified in a unique manner in a system of reference (Fig. 10A) (¶0043, ¶0106);

means for delivering at least one stored item of information associated with said location (Fig. 5A, 510) by way of at least one communicating object able to deliver information and situated at said location (Fig. 5A, 500) the platform comprising means specifically for matching up the location identification in the system of reference of one of the two information management systems with the location identification in the system of reference of the other of the two

Art Unit: 4127

information management systems (Fig. 7B, Fig. 7C, ¶0069-¶0071, ¶0091). Here, the Mobile Terminal gets its GPS coordinates from GPS satellites and then transmits them to the MSC then off to the Adjunct and then finally to the ISP where it will take the information and return a map as to the location of the Mobile Terminal.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. **Claim 2** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0203909 A1 to *Koster* to *("Koster")* in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0026361 A1 to *Blom* ("*Blom*").

As to **claim 2**, *Koster* discloses A method for managing information between communicating objects, said information originating from information provider communicating objects and considered by communicating objects able to deliver information as discussed in claim 1.

Koster does not expressly disclose communicating object able to deliver information comprises an information delivery device fixed at a location, said

information delivery device affording access to stored information associated with said location.

Blom discloses communicating object able to deliver information comprises an information delivery device fixed at a location, said information delivery device affording access to stored information associated with said location (¶0003).

Koster and Blom are analogous art because they are from same field of endeavor with respect to position-based information distribution.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the displaying information associated with a location as discussed *Blom* within the communication system discussed in *Koster*. The suggestion/motivation would have been a need to ensure that only those users in the vicinity of a particular location received the information (*Blom*, ¶0003).

5. **Claims 7 and 10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0203909 A1 to *Koster* to *("Koster")* in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0187949 to *Bhatt et al.* ("*Bhatt*").

As to **claim 7**, *Koster* discloses A method for managing information between communicating objects, said information originating from information provider communicating objects and considered by communicating objects able to deliver information and steps for carrying out a step of identification of a user of a communicating object able to deliver information, and affording access of the

Art Unit: 4127

user to stored information associated with a location by way of said communicating object as a function of at least the results of this step of identification as discussed in claim 1 and claim 6.

Koster does not expressly disclose a step of authentication of the user is carried out, and access to associated stored information is a function of at least the results of this step of authentication. However, Koster does teach that a user identity must be established by some method in order to access some certain information (¶0069).

Bhatt discloses a step of authentication of the user is carried out, and access to associated stored information is a function of at least the results of this step of authentication (¶0026).

Koster and Bhatt are analogous art because they are from same field of endeavor with respect to determining the physical location of user.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the authentication process discussed *Bhatt* within the communication system discussed in *Koster*. The suggestion/motivation would have been a need to ensure that only those users with appropriate access and privileges obtain the transmitted information (*Bhatt*, ¶0026).

**As to claim 10,** *Bhatt* teaches a step of authentication of the user is carried out, and the acquisition and the storage of the datum is a function of at

Art Unit: 4127

least the results of this step of authentication (¶0026). The motivation/suggestion is the same as in claim 7.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAYLOR ELFERVIG whose telephone number is (571)270-5687. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 am - 4:00 pm EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derrick Ferris can be reached on (571)272-3123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 4127

/T. E./ Examiner, Art Unit 4127

/Derrick W Ferris/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4127